Proceedings of the 3rd Annual Nitrogen: Minnesota's' Grand Challenge & Compelling Opportunity Conference

Thank you to all of our Supporters!

In-Season Split Nitrogen Management and the Role of Soil Testing

Fabián G. Fernández
Department of Soil, Water, and Climate
fabiangf@umn.edu

Nitrogen: Minnesota's Grand Challenge & Compelling Opportunity Conference. 16 Feb. 2017 Mankato, MN

University of Minnesota
Driven to Discover℠

Field Soil Test Calibration

- Soil test values **only** indicate the **available** nutrient in the soil, **not** the **fertilizer required** to grow a crop
- Field soil test calibration **gives meaning** to a soil-test value in terms of nutrient sufficiency and fertilizer need
  - Units of measurement for test results are **meaningless** without proper field calibration with yield response
- Follow your state recommendations/guidelines



Atmospheric nitrogen (N_2)
Crop harvest
Volatilization
Industrial fixation
Atmospheric fixation and deposition
Animal manure and biosolids
Plant residue
Runoff and erosion
Biological fixation by legume plants
Plant uptake
Organic nitrogen
Nitrate (NO_3)
Denitrification
Mineralization
Ammonium (NH_4)
Leaching
Immobilization
Nitrification

How Much Yield Can We Get Through Mineralization in MN?
Percent of Corn Yield at EONR Obtained from the 0-N Check 53% C-C, 71% C-S



- Nitrogen management is risk management
  - So many unpredictable variables can make it a “game of chance”
- Need to manage based on probability

MRTN Rate
108 (120) 133



Marna silty clay loam and Nicollet silty clay loam; 5.3% OM

21% greater TOC and 12% greater TN in the undrained soil

Adding N in D increase Nmin	**Yes**
Adding N in UD decrease Nmin	**Yes**
Soybean less Nmin than corn	**Yes**
D greater Nmin than UD	**Yes**



2014

Adding N in D increase Nmin	**Yes**
Adding N in UD decrease Nmin	**No**
Soybean less Nmin than corn	**Yes**
D greater Nmin than UD	**No**



2015

Adding N in D increase Nmin	Yes
Adding N in UD decrease Nmin	Yes
Soybean less Nmin than corn	Yes
D greater Nmin than UD	Yes for fert. trt only



Potential Mineralizable N



Waukegan silt-loam over sand: 4.8% OM CEC 15.7 meq/100g, (22% sand, 51% silt, 27% Clay), pH 6.6



Hubbard loamy sand: 1.5% OM, CEC 7.6 meq/100g, (90% sand, 5% silt, 5% Clay), pH 7.2



400 samples
0-12” deep
Every 6” distance
½ acre linear transect



Overall, 20 samples per 2.5 acres are needed to achieve a TIN estimate with 10% error margin at 0.05 significance level

Can a shallow sample estimate a deeper sample?



0-6" soil samples can be good predictors of 0-12" soils, but the predicting power for 6-12", 12-24", and 0-24" soils is limited

End of Season Soil N



Lamberton, Yield



Ves loam soil

Soil N with Pre-plant Applications





Soil with 4% OM, CEC 24 meq/100g





Ves loam soil

Becker, Yield



Hubbard loamy sand

Soil N with Pre-plant Applications





Soil with 1.6% OM, CEC 8 meq/100g





Hubbard loamy sand


Coarse-Textured Soils, 3 site-yrs
Grain Yield (bu ac-1)
N Rate (lb ac-1)
0
50
100
150
200
250
300


V4 TIN 0-1', Coarse-Textured Soils, 3-site-yrs
Grain Yield (Mg ha-1)
Soil N (kg N ha-1)
y=2.1274+0.0455x-0.00009x2
r2= 0.40
Plateau= 253 kg N ha-1 (226 lb/a)
0
100
200
300
400
500


Coarse-Textured Soils, 3 site-yrs
Becker 2014; 2015 a,b
Grain Yield (bu ac-1)
0
50
100
150
200
250
300
N Rate (lb ac-1)


Fine-Textured Soils, 5 site-yrs
Clara City 2014; Waseca 2014 a,b; Waseca 2015 a,b
Grain Yield (bu ac-1)
0
50
100
150
200
250
300
N Rate (lb ac-1)


Fine-Textured Soils, 3 site-yrs
Clara2 Lamberton1 Theilman
Grain Yield (bu ac-1)
0
50
100
150
200
250
300
N Rate (lb ac-1)


Fine-Textured Soils, 1 site-yr
Lamberton2
Grain Yield (bu ac-1)
0
50
100
150
200
250
300
N Rate (lb ac-1)

V4

Nitrate

TIN









V8

Nitrate

TIN











Annual rainfall pattern
Corn nitrogen use
Leaching and runoff potential
Jan
Mar
May
Jul
Sept
Nov

Lamberton, C-C at 120 lb N/a




V4, Lamberton @ 120 lb N/a
Soil nitrogen (lb/a)
0
10
20
30
40
50
60
70
80
Nitrate-N 0-12"
Nitrate-N 12-24"
Ammonium-N 0-12"
Ammonium-N 12-24"
PP3
Start/SD-V2
Start/SD-V4
Start/SD-V6
Start/SD-V8
Start/SD-V12


V8, Lamberton @ 120 lb N/a
Soil nitrogen (lb/a)
0
10
20
30
40
50
60
70
80
90
100
PP3
Start/SD-V2
Start/SD-V4
Start/SD-V6
Start/SD-V8
Start/SD-V12


V12, Lamberton @ 120 lb N/a
Soil nitrogen (lb/a)
0
10
20
30
40
50
60
70
80
90
100
PP3
Start/SD-V2
Start/SD-V4
Start/SD-V6
Start/SD-V8
Start/SD-V12


R1, Lamberton @ 120 lb N/a
Soil nitrogen (lb/a)
0
10
20
30
40
50
60
70
80
90
100
PP3
Start/SD-V2
Start/SD-V4
Start/SD-V6
Start/SD-V8
Start/SD-V12

Becker, C-C at 120 lb N/a



Hubbard loamy sand


V4, Becker @ 120 lb N/a
Soil nitrogen (lb/a)
100
90
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
Nitrate-N 0-12"
Nitrate-N 12-24"
Ammonium-N 0-12"
Ammonium-N 12-24"
PP3
Start/SD-V2
Start/SD-V4
Start/SD-V6
Start/SD-V8
Start/SD-V12


V8, Becker @ 120 lb N/a
Soil nitrogen (lb/a)
100
90
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
PP3
Start/SD-V2
Start/SD-V4
Start/SD-V6
Start/SD-V8
Start/SD-V12


V12, Becker @ 120 lb N/a
Soil nitrogen (lb/a)
100
90
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
PP3
Start/SD-V2
Start/SD-V4
Start/SD-V6
Start/SD-V8
Start/SD-V12


R1, Becker @ 120 lb N/a
Soil nitrogen (lb/a)
100
90
80
70
60
50
40
30
20
10
0
PP3
Start/SD-V2
Start/SD-V4
Start/SD-V6
Start/SD-V8
Start/SD-V12

Can We Use Crop Sensors To Improve N Management?



Grain Yield Prediction – Sensor only



Nutrient Management

University of Minnesota Extension
Driven to Discover℠


Corn Yield (bu/a)
200
150
100
50
0.10
0.15
0.20
0.25
RS - NDRE V4
R2=0.63


Corn Yield (bu/a)
200
150
100
50
0.15
0.20
0.25
0.30
0.35
0.40
0.45
RS - NDRE V8
R2=0.83


Corn Yield (bu/a)
200
150
100
50
0.20
0.25
0.30
0.35
0.40
0.45
RS - NDRE V12
R2=0.92



Physiological maturity
V4 Stage
<10% of N needs
Milk
R6
Silking
R3
R1
V12
V6
V3
225 lb N/ acre
180 lb N/ acre
100%
135 lb N/ acre
80%
68 lb N/ acre
60%
22 lb N/ acre
30%
10 lb N/ acre
10%
4%
May
Jun
Jul
Aug
Sep

Grain Yield Prediction – Sensor only – V4

GreenSeeker Field of View



Adapted from Barmeier and Schmidhalter, (2016)

N Deficiency Determination – Sensor only –QPLoc – V8



N Deficiency Determination – Sensor only – QPLoc – V12



N Deficiency Determination – Sensor only – QPLoc



Soil N sampling timing to improve sensor predictions of N deficiency



Improving Sensor Measurements



Sampling Depth and Nitrogen Measurement

Predicitve Tool	AIC*	R^2
Sensor only	784	0.34
Sensor + 0-24” TIN	**729**	**0.78**
Sensor + 0-12” TIN	735	0.74
Sensor + 0-24” NO_3^-	731	0.79
Sensor + 0-12” NO_3^-	**741**	**0.76**

* Lower AIC means better fit

V4 Soil NO_3^- @ 0-12” is the best approach to improve predictive power

Utility of Soil Nitrogen to Improve Predictive Power of N Deficiency



Take Home Messages

- Soil N is variable but it is an important tool
- Canopy sensors can help us manage N:
  - The earlier the sensing the greater the flexibility to apply nitrogen, BUT
  - The earlier the sensing the lesser the predictive power
  - The later the sensing the greater the predictive power, BUT
  - The later the sensing the lesser the flexibility to apply nitrogen and greater potential for yield loss
- Canopy sensor adjustments with soil N show promise
- In-season N application is A tool

Questions

Fabián Fernández
fabiangf@umn.edu

THANK YOU

Students, Field Crew, Farmers, Research Centers

Minnesota Department of Agriculture

Minnesota Soybean Research & Promotion Council

MinnesotaCorn GROWERS ASSOCIATION

AFREC Agricultural Fertilizer Research & Education Council