#### Proceedings of the 10<sup>th</sup> Annual Nutrient Management Conference



Do not reproduce or redistribute without the written consent of author(s)

Corn and Soybean Yields as Affected by Soil Test P and Fertilization Philosophy

Jeffrey Vetsch Researcher 4 Univ. of Minnesota, Southern Research and Outreach Center

**Tenth Annual Nutrient Management Conference** February 20, 2018, Verizon Wireless Center, Mankato Corn and Soybean Yields as Affected by Soil Test P and Fertilization Philosophy

## Daniel Kaiser, Albert Sims, Carl Rosen, Jeff Vetsch, Jeff Strock, and Karina Fabrizzi University of Minnesota

Funding for this project from Agricultural Fertilizer and Research and Education Council (AFREC) is greatly appreciated by the authors.



# Background

- Phosphorus (P) is an essential nutrient and the second most commonly applied nutrient in Minnesota agriculture. Phosphorus management is critical to reduce environmental risk while sustaining field productivity.
- Phosphorus fertilization in Minnesota and the Midwest is based on one of two philosophical approaches:
- Build and Maintain ("I" states) or Sufficiency (MN, WI, SD).
  - Recently, many have labeled the sufficiency approach as "to conservative" or "will limit yield or yield potential".
  - They proclaim greater fertilizer rates, used in B&M and crop removal approaches, are necessary to obtain and maintain production levels in today's agricultural systems.

# **Objectives**

The objective of this study was to establish longterm experiments in primary agronomic regions of Minnesota and to test / compare current and future P management strategies.

- **PHASE I:** Establish at each site replicated soil test P (STP) level treatments ranging from Low, Medium, High, and Very High (V.High), over a period of 4 growing seasons (2011-2014).
- **PHASE II:** Evaluate response to applied P as affected by initial STP levels and evaluate maximum grain yield achieved under various applied P, initial STP level combinations.

# **Experimental sites**

- 1. Becker
- 2. Crookston
- 3. Lamberton
- 4. Morris
- 5. Rochester
- 6. Waseca



Split-plot randomized complete block design with four replications.
 ➢ Whole plot: STP classes (Low, Medium, High, Very High) (80' x 55')
 ➢ P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> rates for this period (Low=0, Med.=30, High=60, V. High=90 lb/ac)

> Split-plot: were established Fall 2014 for PHASE II (20' x 55')

## **Measurements and Methods**

- Annually at each site
  - Grain yield, P removal, and P inputs
  - Soil samples at 0-6 inch sampling depth (June sampling at all sites except Morris).
- Triple superphosphate (0-46-0) was the only P fertilizer source used at all locations. Broadcast and incorporated.
- All agronomic practices at each location were customary for the region Only P fertilizer rates varied.
- Crop rotation: corn (2011-13), soybean (2014), corn (2015-16), soybean (2017) at all sites except Crookston (wheat in 2013).

#### **Soil Description**

		nH	CCE	O M
Site	Soil Series	рп	<u> </u>	<b>0.1vi.</b>
Becker±	Hubbard Is	5.2	0.1	1.4
Lamberton	Normania I	5.4	0.2	3.4
Rochester*	Port Byron & Mt Carroll silt loam	7.5	0.5	4.3
Waseca	Nicollet & Webster clay loam	6.0	0.1	4.7
Morris	Dolan sl	7.6	1.5	3.9
<b>Crookston</b> §	Gunclub Si cl	8.1	2.5	4.8

- **±** Becker site was limed in 2012 to bring soil pH up to 5.8.
- \* Rochester site was limed just prior to the initiation of the experiment.
- § Crookston and Morris typically use the Olsen STP for P fertilizer recommendations.

### Soil test phosphorus (P) Interpretation Classes and associated extracted-P concentrations used in Minnesota.

	Minnesota STP Category					
Extractant	Very Low	Low	Medium	High	Very High	
	ppm P extracted					
Bray-P	0-5	6-11	12-15	16-20	21+	
Olsen-P	0-3	4-7	8-11	12-15	16+	

# What is the meaning of low P?

Think of your soil test as a probability function

"Low" testing soils should have a low potential to supply required nutrients

Table 8. Corn grain yield response to applied P fertilizer based on soil test category.					
BRAY-P1 OR OLSEN SOIL TEST P CATEGORY	EXPECTED TIME P FERTILIZER WILL INCREASE CORN GRAIN YIELD	EXPECTED YIELD WITHOUT P FERTILIZER			
	%				
Very Low	87	87			
Low	83	90			
Medium	27	98			
High	13	99			
Very High	7	99			









UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA | EXTENSION Driven to Discover\*\*

## **Phase I- Results**

## Phase I Soil Test P







## Phase I Soil Test P

📕 Low 📕 Medium 📕 High 📕 Very High



# **Phase I Soil Data-Summary**

At the end of Phase I in 2014, all sites had reached the four established interpretation classes: Very High, High, Medium and Low and most of them were within the range established for Minnesota, with some exceeding only by a small margin.

### **METHODS OF PHASE II**

#### Divide each whole plot into 4 split-plots

- Group split-plots into adjacent pairs
- ➢One pair used in 2015 trial
  - One split-plot fertilized
  - One split-plot not fertilized (crop relies on residual P from Phase I)
- Additional pairs used for 2016 and 2017 trials
  - Fertilized to maintain original Whole plot STP Interpretation Class

### Fertilizer rates for Phase II (fertilized plot only)

- >Low: 150 lbs.  $P_2O_5 ac^{-1}$
- > Medium: 90 lbs.  $P_2O_5$  ac<sup>-1</sup>
- > High: 30 lbs.  $P_2O_5$  ac<sup>-1</sup>
- ≻Very High: 30 lbs. P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ac<sup>-1</sup>

## **Methods for Phase II**

Fertilizer rates used were University of Minnesota recommendations based on STP levels plus 50%.

>This trial was not to test fertilizer recommendations

Wanted to ensure minimal chance recommended P rate was inadequate

## **Phase II- Results**

#### **Phase II Becker- Grain Yield**





	2015	2016	2017
		<i>P</i> value	
Class (C)	0.11	0.09	0.54
P fert. (P)	<0.01	<0.01	0.34
СхР	<0.01	<0.01	0.11

Soybean 2017

### Phase II Waseca – Grain Yield



Soybean-2017



	2015	2016	2017
	•••••	<i>P</i> value	
Class (C)	0.21	<0.01	<0.01
P fert. (P)	0.06	<0.01	<0.01
СхР	0.17	<0.01	<0.01

#### **Phase II Rochester**







	2015	2016	2017
		<i>P</i> value	
Class (C)	0.04	0.99	0.69
P fert. (P)	<0.01	0.62	0.53
СхР	0.30	0.27	0.53

### **Phase II Lamberton**





	2015	2016	2017
	•••••	<i>P</i> value	
Class (C)	0.03	0.05	<0.01
P fert. (P)	<0.01	0.09	< 0.01
СхР	0.16	0.14	0.05

#### **Phase II Morris**



Soybean-2017



	2015	2016	2017
	<i>P</i> value		
Class (C)	0.28	0.07	0.41
P fert. (P)	0.88	0.11	0.87
СхР	0.40	0.73	0.15

### **Phase II Crookston**



Soybean-2017



	2015	2016	2017
	•••••	<i>P</i> value	
Class (C)	0.24	0.02	0.77
P fert. (P)	0.01	0.20	0.89
СхР	0.01	0.02	0.30



# **Yield Data Summary**

- Corn yields responded to P fertilizer application at Becker, Waseca, and Crookston.
- Morris, Lamberton, and Rochester had minimal response to P fertilizer.
- In 18 of 23 site-years from Phase I (individual year data not shown), yields were optimized with STP in the Medium soil test level (12-15 ppm Bray-P1 and 8-11 ppm Olsen-P).
- The Medium STP level or class received 30-lb P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> ac<sup>-1</sup> annually during Phase I.

## CONCLUSIONS

➢Greater response to P application was observed in the Low and Medium STP classes, with little to no response in the High and Very High STP classes.

>Applying P fertilizer annually based on STP level resulted in similar grain yield potential than building and maintaining high STP regardless of P level and soil type.

## **STP Critical Levels**

## **Relative Corn Yield × STP**

 $\bigcirc$ 



## **Relative Soybean Yield × STP**





## **Relative Corn Yield × STP**



## **Relative Soybean Yield × STP**



# **Critical Soil Test P Levels**



**SAFREC** 

Agricultural Fertilizer Research & Education Council

Critical soil test P level at selected relative corn grain yield levels				
Soil Test	95%	98%	100%	
19-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-10-	ppm			
Bray-P1	10	15	16	
Olsen	9	12	13	
Mehlich-3	14	19	19	

Critical soil test P level at selected relative soybean grain yield levels

Soil Test	95%	98%	100%
		ppm	
Bray-P1	12	17	18
Olsen	8	10	11

Critical level is typically defined as the soil test at 95% relative yield





UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA | EXTENSION Driven to Discover<sup>M</sup>

# **RESIDUAL EFFECTS OF PHASE II**

#### >What are residual effects on 2015 plots in 2016?

#### Do corn yields and/or STP crash in P fertilized plots in the Low STP class?

- Pair of plots used for the 2015 comparison
  - One split-plot fertilized
  - > One split-plot not fertilized

#### ➤Treatments applied to 2015 pair in 2016

- > Low: 0 & 150 lb  $P_2O_5$  ac<sup>-1</sup> for 2015 and 0 & 0 for 2016
- > Med.: 0 & 90 for 2015 and 30 & 0 for 2016
- > High: 0 & 30 for 2015 and 30 & 0 for 2016
- >V. High: 0 & 30 for 2015 and 30 & 0 for 2016

# Phase II Soil test P (Bray-P) Residual Effects



# Phase II Soil test P (Olsen-P) Residual Effects



### Phase II Corn Yield Residual Effects



### Phase II Corn Yield Residual Effects



## Phase II Residual Effect Observations

- STP in P fertilized plot of Low Class did decline somewhat; however, STP still Medium to High and adequate for crop production.
- Corn yields in 2016 from the P fertilized plot (2015) in Low Class were similar to other fertilized plots; therefore, no additional P fertilizer was needed in year two.

## CONCLUSIONS

Applying P fertilizer to Low and Medium STP classes (Sufficiency Approach) produced the same yields as High and Very High STP classes with or without P fertilizer.

- Therefore, applying P fertilizer using a build and maintain approach or at crop removal rates did NOT increase corn and soybean yield potential compared with sufficiency approach.
- Following a build and maintain approach would result in greater input (fertilizer) costs and lower economic returns.

# Changes in STP vs Net P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> applied



# Changes in STP vs Net P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> applied



#### Changes in STP vs Net P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> applied and Calcareous soils



0

Net P applied (lbs  $P_2O_5$  ac<sup>-1</sup>)

200

300

400

-400

After 6 years, when net P addition was 0 **(P** removed=P applied) a positive net change of **1.5 ppm yr<sup>-1</sup> and 0.2 ppm** yr<sup>-1</sup> was observed for acidic and calcareous soils, respectively (Fig. 4).

Summary of annual soil test change based on crop removal of P following six years for fertilizer application and removal

 $\bigcirc$ 

	Soil Test Change when applying P	Annual P application required
	based on crop	to Maintain Soil
Location	removal	Test
	ppm yr <sup>-1</sup>	lb $P_2O_5 ac^{-1} yr^{-1}$
Becker	1.3	-16.9
Lamberton	1.0	-31.1
Waseca	2.3	-24.1
Rochester	2.0	-34.0
Crookston	0.69	-15.0
Morris	-0.42	+13.1
Acidic Soils	1.5	-28.1
<b>Calcareous Soils</b>	0.16	-4.64

## CONCLUSIONS

- Crop removal rates increased STP, especially on acid soils (Bray P1).
- >Build and maintain or crop removal:
  - >Will result in greater input (fertilizer) costs.
  - >Makes no sense on rented acres.
  - >May be difficult B&M some calcareous soils
  - Can provide some flexibility when fertilizer P prices increase unexpectedly (owned acres).
  - >May allow you to soil sample less frequently.

## When can you reduce fertilizer P inputs?

- If soil test P (STP) is Low or Very Low (<12 ppm Bray or <8 Olsen), APPLY FERTILIZER P.</li>
- STP is Medium (12-15 ppm Bray or 8-11 Olsen), a yield response to broadcast P is possible (27%), but may not give an economic return.
- STP is High (16-20 ppm Bray or 12-15 Olsen) a response is unlikely. A low rate of starter P is fine.
- STP is Very High (>21 ppm Bray or >16 Olsen).
  No fertilizer P is needed. A low rate of starter P is acceptable, IF no broadcast P is applied.



# Questions

Jeff Vetsch jvetsch@umn.edu 507-837-5654 Follow on Twitter @jvetsch2 @SROCcrops @UMNNutrientMgmt



UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA Driven to Discover<sup>™</sup>

# Phase II – Grain P removal (2015-2016)



Lamberton



Waseca





Rochester



# Phase II – Grain P removal (2015-2016)



- Grain P removal was more responsive to P application in 4 of 6 sites.
- Fertilizer P (+P) increased P removal in Low or/and Medium classes but not in High and Very High classes.

# Phase II Soybean Grain P Removal (2017)

Becker



Waseca





# Phase II Soybean Grain P Removal (2017)



- Grain P removal in soybean was more responsive to P application in 5 of 5 sites.
- Fertilizer P (+P) increased P removal in Low or/and Medium classes but not in High and Very High classes.

# Nutrient Removal Values Updated through 2015

#### Corn

- P: n=7806
- K: n=4958
- Removal in lbs/bu
  - $-P_2O_5 0.28$
  - $K_2 O 0.19$

#### Soybean

- P: n=5071
- K: n=4427
- Removal in lbs/bu
  - $-P_2O_5-0.69$
  - $K_2 O 1.09$
- Values are generated from sites where responses to P or K may or may not have occurred
- Values are median values for removal
  - Actual values may be +/- 10%
  - Mean and median for the dataset are similar





UNIVERSITY OF MINNESOTA | EXTENSION Driven to Discover\*\*